28

1		
2		
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6	SHAWN MYERS, et al.,	No. C 08-1163 MEJ
7	Plaintiffs,	ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR
8	VS.	FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
9	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	Re: Dkt. No. 185
10	et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	The Court is in receipt of Defendants' Request for Leave to File Motion for Reconsideration,	
14	filed October 4, 2012. Dkt. No. 185. In their request, Defendants ask the Court to grant leave to file	
15	a motion for reconsideration of the Court's September 18, 2012 Order denying in part their motion	
16	for summary judgment. Defendants seek this relief based on Civil Local Rule 7-9(b)(3), arguing	
17	that there existed a manifest failure by the Court to consider material facts or dispositive legal	
18	arguments that were presented to the Court before it issued the interlocutory order.	
19	Prior to issuing a ruling on Defendants' motion, the Court shall give Plaintiffs the	
20	opportunity to file a response. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are ORDERED to file any opposition to	
21	Defendants' motion by October 11, 2012.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	Dated: October 5, 2012	
25		Maria-Elena James Chief United States Magistrate Judge
26		5
27		